which includes access to digital content like audio books, ebooks, emagazines, journals, enewspapers, databases, and government publications.

"Such usage of u-Pustaka is even higher than those who accessed physical reading materials last year.

"The u-Pustaka usage clocked in at 5,996,951 last year, compared with 86,226 by those who used our physical library ser-

This shows that online reading material is becoming more dominant among users today," Salasiah says.

More libraries to come?

With the need to improve our reading culture, it makes sense to make reading material more available, be they physical or

Salasiah says there are plans by the government to increase the number of libraries throughout the country, including in rural areas.

The government also intends to set up at least one library in each parliamentary and state constituency.

"However, it has yet to fulfil such plans following constraints in terms of allocations and availability of sites," she says.

As of today, there are 340 public libraries, including state, district,

town, and community libraries.

"Another 1,112 are village libraries for the benefit of those in rural areas.

"The setting up of these libraries is one of the efforts by the government to provide such learning facilities to boost the literacy rate in society," Salasiah

says.

There are also several digital libraries such as in Tasek Gelugor, Penang, and at the Kedah digital state library to support sustainable development goals.

"The government also welcomes smart partnerships with the private sector and non-governmental organisations in developing library facilities for the people," Salasiah adds.

Through it all, the NLM is constantly striving to increase the reading habit among Malaysians by holding various activities and programmes to encourage the habit.

On its study of the people's reading profile, Salasiah says the findings will be important in supporting the vision of the National Reading Decade, which is to create a reading society by

Those who wish to participate in the study can log on to bit. ly/3kds99s.

Why reading books is good for society, well-being, and your career

By MEG ELKINS, JANE FRY and LISA FARRELL

TIKTOK allows video up to 10 minutes, but says surveys show almost half its users are stressed by anything longer than a minute. An Instagram video can be up to 90 seconds, but experts reckon the ideal time to maximise engagement is less than 15 seconds. Twitter doubled the length of tweets in 2017 to 280 characters, but the typical length is more like 33 characters.

It's easy to get sucked into short and sensational content. But if you are worried this may be harming your attention span, you should be. There's solid evidence that so many demands on our attention make us more stressed, and that the endless social comparison makes us feel worse about ourselves.

For better mental health

Studies around the world show a range of psychological benefits from book-reading. Reading fiction can increase your capacity for empathy, through the process of seeing the world through a relatable character. Reading has been found to reduce stress as effectively as yoga. It is being prescribed for depression - a treatment known as bibliotherapy.

Book-reading is also a strong marker of curiosity - a quality prized by employers such as Google. Our research shows reading is as strongly associated with curiosity as interest in science, and more strongly than mathematical ability.

And it is not just that curious minds are more likely to read because of a thirst for knowledge and understanding. That happens too, but our research has specifically been to investigate the role of reading in the development of curious minds.

Reading and curiosity

Our findings come from analysing data from the Longitudinal Surveys of Australian Youth, which tracks the progress of young Australians from the age of

Longitudinal surveys provide valuable insights by surveying the same people - in this case a group of about 10,000 young people. Every year for 10 years they are asked about their achievements, aspirations, education, employment, and life satisfaction.

There have been five survey cohorts since 1998, the most recent starting in 2016. We analysed three of them - those beginning in 2003, 2006, and 2009, looking at the data up to age 20, at which age most have a job or are looking for one.

The survey data is rich enough to develop proxy measures of reading and curiosity levels. It includes participants' scores in the OECD Programme for International Student Assessment tests for reading, mathematics and science ability. There are survey questions about time spent reading for pleasure, time reading newspapers or magazines, and library use. [The OECD is the Organisation for Economic

Cooperation and Development.] To measure curiosity, we used respondents' answers to questions about their interest in the following, learning new things, thinking about why the world is in the state it is, finding out more about things you don't understand, finding out about a new idea, and finding out how something works.

Scrolling problems

Does this mean if you are older that it is too late to start reading? No. Our results relate to young people

because the data was available. No matter what your age, deep reading has benefits over social-media scroll-

The short-term dopa-

mine rush of scrolling on a device is an elusive promise. It depletes rather than uplifts us. Our limbic brain – the part of the brain associated with emotional and behavioural responses remains trapped in a spiral of pleasure-seeking.

Studies show a high correlation between media multitasking and attention problems due to cognitive overload. The effect is most evident among young people, who have grown up with social media overexposure.

American social psychologist Jonathan Haidt is among the researchers warning that high social media use is a major contributor to declining mental health for teenage girls.

Boys are doing badly too, but their rates of depression and anxiety are not as high, and their increases since 2011 are smaller.

Why this "giant, obvious, international, and gendered cause"? Prof Haidt writes: "Instagram was founded in 2010. The iPhone 4 was released then too - the first smartphone with a front-facing camera. In 2012 Facebook bought Instagram, and that's the year that its user base exploded. By 2015, it was becoming normal for 12-year-old girls to spend hours each day taking selfies, editing selfies; and posting them for friends, enemies, and strangers to comment on, while also spending hours each day scrolling through photos of other girls and fabu-lously wealthy female celebrities with [seemingly] vastly superior bodies and lives

In 2020, Prof Haidt published research showing girls are more vulnerable to "fear of missing out" and the aggression that social media tends to amplify. Since then he's become even more convinced of the correlation.

Social media, by design, is

With TikTok, for example, videos start automatically, based on what the algorithm already knows about you. But it doesn't just validate your preferences and feed you opinions that confirm your biases. It also varies the content so you don't know what is coming next. This is the same trick that keeps gamblers addict-

Tips to get back into books

If you are having difficulty choosing between your phone and a book, here is a simple tip proven by behavioural science. To change behaviour it also helps to change your environment.

Try the following:

> Carry a book at all times, or leave books around the house in convenient places.

> Schedule reading time into your day - 20 minutes is enough. This reinforces the habit and ensures regular immersion in the book world.

> If you're not enjoying a book, try another. Don't force yourself.

You'll feel better for it - and be prepared for a future employer asking you what books you are reading. - theconversation.com

Meg Elkins is senior lecturer with the School of Economics, Finance and Marketing, and Behavioural **Business Lab member, RMIT** (Royal Melbourne Institute of Technology) University; Jane Fry is a post-doctoral research fellow at the University of Melbourne; and Lisa Farrell is professor of economics (health economist), **RMIT University. All institutions** are in Australia. This article was first published at The Conversation.

